

MAR 16 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>LUCIO ROSAS,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>

No. 13-71365

Agency No. A096-322-873

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 10, 2015**

Before: FARRIS, WARDLAW, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Lucio Rosas, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying his request for a continuance and entering an order of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Rosas sought a continuance of his removal proceedings in order to file a motion to vacate the state convictions that rendered him ineligible for cancellation of removal. Rosas' motion to vacate has now been denied. *Oklahoma v. Rosas*, No. CM-1997-00242 (June 20, 2013). Accordingly, his challenge to the denial of the continuance to pursue that relief is moot. *See Pedroza-Padilla v. Gonzales*, 486 F.3d 1362, 1364 n.2 (9th Cir. 2007); *see also United States v. Strong*, 489 F.3d 1055, 1059 (9th Cir. 2007) (“An appeal is moot when, by virtue of an intervening event, a court of appeals cannot grant any effectual relief whatever in favor of the appellant.” (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.