

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 18 2015

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LUIS CRUZ-CARRASCO,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-50336

D.C. No. 3:13-cr-01552-DMS

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of California  
Dana M. Sabraw, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 10, 2015\*\*

Before: FARRIS, WARDLAW, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Luis Cruz-Carrasco appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the six-month sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Cruz-Carrasco contends that the district court procedurally erred by failing

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).*

to address his non-frivolous mitigation arguments. We review for harmless error, *see United States v. Munoz-Camarena*, 631 F.3d 1028, 1030 (9th Cir. 2011) (per curiam), and find no error. The record reflects that the district court expressly addressed Cruz-Carrasco's mitigation arguments before it imposed a below-Guidelines revocation sentence.

**AFFIRMED.**