

APR 27 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

STEPHEN ROBERT BULL,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-10364

D.C. No. 2:06-cr-00378-RLH

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Lloyd D. George, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 22, 2015\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, BYBEE, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Court Judges.

Stephen Robert Bull appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the 14-month sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Bull contends that his sentence is substantively unreasonable in light of the mitigating factors he presented to the district court. The district court did not abuse its discretion in imposing Bull's sentence. *See Gall v. United States*, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007). The sentence is substantively reasonable in light of the 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) sentencing factors and the totality of the circumstances, including Bull's repeated violations of supervised release. *See Gall*, 552 U.S. at 51; *United States v. Miqbel*, 444 F.3d 1173, 1182 (9th Cir. 2006).

**AFFIRMED.**