

MAY 04 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RICHARD W. LEWIS,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DAVID ANTONUCCIO,

Defendant,

LOUIS MORTILLARO; et al.,

Defendants,

and

LINDA DUFF; TYRONE DUFF,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 13-16181

D.C. No. 3:99-cv-00386-LRH-
WGC

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Larry R. Hicks, District Judge, Presiding

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

Submitted April 22, 2015 **

Before: GOODWIN, BYBEE, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Linda and Tyrone Duff appeal pro se from the district court's judgment imposing monetary sanctions against them under its inherent power. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm.

In prior Appeal No. 08-17314, this court rejected the Duffs' contentions that the district court lacked jurisdiction over the underlying action and to sanction them. We do not revisit those arguments here. *See Leslie Salt Co. v. United States*, 55 F.3d 1388, 1392 (9th Cir. 1995) (under the law of the case doctrine, one panel of an appellate court will not reconsider matters resolved in a prior appeal before another panel in the same case).

We do not consider arguments and allegations raised for the first time on appeal or in the reply brief, including the Duffs' request that Lewis or his counsel be sanctioned. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009) (per curiam).

The Duffs' request of February 14, 2014, that appellee's answering brief be rejected for failure to comply with the court's January 22, 2014 order, is denied.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2)*.

The Duffs' request for a decision on their appeal is granted.

AFFIRMED.