

MAY 22 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

In re: SACHIDANAND SINHA,

Debtor,

SACHIDANAND SINHA,

Appellant,

v.

U.S. BANK N.A.,

Appellee.

No. 13-60100

BAP No. 13-1406

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the Ninth Circuit
Bankruptcy Appellate Panel
Dunn and Kirscher, Bankruptcy Judges, Presiding

Submitted May 13, 2015 **

Before: LEAVY, CALLAHAN, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Sachidanand Sinha appeals pro se from the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel's ("BAP") order denying his motion for a stay pending appeal of the bankruptcy

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

court's orders denying his motion for a continuance, and denying his creditor's motion for relief from the automatic stay. We review de novo our own jurisdiction. *Silver Sage Partners, Ltd. v. City of Desert Hot Springs (in re City of Desert Hot Springs)*, 339 F.3d 782, 787 (9th Cir. 2003). We dismiss this appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

We lack jurisdiction over this appeal because the BAP's order denying Sinha's motion for a stay pending appeal was not a final order. *See Dye v. Brown (In re AFI Holding, Inc.)*, 530 F.3d 832, 836 (9th Cir. 2008) (order) (discussing "pragmatic approach to finality in bankruptcy cases"); *see also In re Teleport Oil Co.*, 759 F.2d 1376, 1377 (9th Cir. 1985), *overruled on other grounds, Connecticut Nat'l Bank v. Germain*, 503 U.S. 249, 253 (1992) (decision not to grant a stay does not conclusively determine controversy).

DISMISSED.