

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 25 2015

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JAMES ROBERT SORRELL,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 14-30159

D.C. No. 2:11-cr-00058-RHW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Washington
Robert H. Whaley, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 22, 2015**

Before: HAWKINS, GRABER, and W. FLETCHER, Circuit Judges.

James Robert Sorrell appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges the 18-month sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. Appellant's motion to submit this case on the briefs is granted. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Sorrell contends that the district court erred by relying on impermissible sentencing factors and by failing to explain adequately the sentence imposed. We review for plain error, *see United States v. Miqbel*, 444 F.3d 1173, 1176 (9th Cir. 2006), and find none. The record reflects that the district court considered the 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) sentencing factors, did not consider impermissible sentencing factors, and sufficiently explained its reasons for imposing the above-Guidelines sentence. *See United States v. Carty*, 520 F.3d 984, 992 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc).

AFFIRMED.