

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUL 16 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ROBERT CRITCHLOW,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

KATE E. CRITCHLOW and BARBARA  
L. WANER,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 13-15572

D.C. No. 3:12-cv-01198-LB  
Northern District of California,  
San Francisco

ORDER

Before: SCHROEDER, D.W. NELSON, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

The memorandum disposition filed on June 11, 2015, is hereby amended by deleting the third sentence of the second paragraph, and replacing it with:

“Accordingly, the court’s decision to take judicial notice of these documents did not convert Kate Critchlow’s and John Waner’s motions to dismiss into motions for summary judgment.”

With this amendment, the panel has unanimously voted to deny appellant’s petition for rehearing. Judge Ikuta voted to deny the petition for rehearing en banc and Judge Schroeder and Judge Nelson so recommended. The petition for rehearing en banc was circulated to the judges of the court, and no judge requested a vote for en banc consideration.

The petition for rehearing and the petition for rehearing en banc are DENIED. The panel will not consider any further petitions for rehearing in response to the amended memorandum disposition.