

JUL 28 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

EZEQUIEL ESPINOSA-JIMENEZ, a.k.a.  
Daniel Jimenez-Hernandez,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10553

D.C. No. 4:12-cr-02316-RCC

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Raner C. Collins, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 21, 2015\*\*

Before: CANBY, BEA, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Ezequiel Espinosa-Jimenez appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his jury-trial conviction and 63-month sentence for attempted reentry after deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to *Anders v.*

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

*California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Espinosa-Jimenez’s counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Espinosa-Jimenez the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel’s motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

Espinosa-Jimenez’s motion for an extension of time filed on April 6, 2015, is denied as moot in the light of the court’s order on the same date granting an extension sua sponte.

**AFFIRMED.**