

JUL 29 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SALVADOR RAMIREZ-DIAZ,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 13-72091

Agency No. A079-649-733

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 21, 2015**

Before: CANBY, BEA, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Salvador Ramirez-Diaz, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's denial of his request for a continuance. Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

continuance, and review de novo claims of due process violations. *Sandoval-Luna v. Mukasey*, 526 F.3d 1243, 1246 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying Ramirez-Diaz's request for a further continuance because he did not demonstrate good cause. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29; *Ahmed v. Holder*, 569 F.3d 1009, 1012 (9th Cir. 2009) (factors considered in determining whether the denial of a continuance constitutes an abuse of discretion include the nature of the evidence excluded as a result of the denial).

Accordingly, Ramirez-Diaz's claim that he was denied a full and fair hearing and deprived of his statutory right to counsel must fail. *Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error and prejudice to prevail on a due process claim).

We reject Ramirez-Diaz's contention that the BIA's decision was insufficient, where the agency invoked the applicable "good cause" legal standard and cited pertinent legal authorities. *Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 990 (9th Cir. 2010) (agency need not "write an exegesis on every contention").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.