

AUG 04 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FELICISIMA ALBIOLA ESPINOSA,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 11-72495

Agency No. A088-224-046

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 21, 2015**

Before: CANBY, BEA, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Felicisima Albiola Espinosa, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to continue, and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

review de novo due process claims. *Sandoval-Luna v. Mukasey*, 526 F.3d 1243, 1246 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying a continuance, where Albiola Espinosa had already been given three continuances and she did not show good cause for an additional continuance. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29 (an IJ may grant a motion for a continuance for good cause shown). Albiola Espinosa's contention that the IJ did not consider all the facts presented is belied by the record.

To the extent Albiola Espinosa is making a due process claim, it therefore fails. *See Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (to prevail on a due process challenge, an alien must show error and prejudice).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.