

SEP 04 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

TAMARA TRICIA ROBERSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

PACIFIC LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 13-36081

D.C. No. 3:13-cv-05323-RJB

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Washington  
Robert J. Bryan, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 25, 2015\*\*

Before: McKEOWN, CLIFTON, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Tamara Tricia Roberson appeals pro se from the district court's summary judgment in her employment action alleging racial discrimination in violation of Title VII. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Clicks Billiards, Inc. v. Sixshooters, Inc.*, 251 F.3d 1252, 1257 (9th Cir. 2001), and

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

we affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment because Roberson failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact as to whether defendant's legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons for not interviewing or hiring Roberson for the position were pretextual. *See Hawn v. Exec. Jet Mgmt., Inc.*, 615 F.3d 1151, 1155-56, 1158 (9th Cir. 2010) (providing framework for analyzing a discrimination claim under Title VII); *see also Earl v. Nielsen Media Research, Inc.*, 658 F.3d 1108, 1112-13 (9th Cir. 2011) (discussing ways plaintiff can demonstrate pretext and explaining that, although plaintiff's burden is not onerous, plaintiff must produce specific and substantial facts to create a triable dispute as to pretext).

**AFFIRMED.**