

SEP 08 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LEON HAWKINS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DAVID CASTILLO,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 13-16440

D.C. No. 1:09-cv-00771-LJO-JLT

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California  
Lawrence J. O'Neill, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 25, 2015\*\*

Before: McKEOWN, CLIFTON, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Leon Hawkins appeals pro se from the district court's judgment following a jury verdict in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging an Eighth Amendment excessive force claim. We have jurisdiction under 28

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1291. We affirm.

We cannot review Hawkins' contentions challenging his jury trial because Hawkins has failed to provide the relevant trial transcripts required to review the alleged errors. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 10(b)(2); *Syncom Capital Corp. v. Wade*, 924 F.2d 167, 169 (9th Cir. 1991) (per curiam) (dismissing appeal by pro se appellant for failure to provide relevant trial transcripts).

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Hawkins' motions to appoint counsel because Hawkins did not demonstrate exceptional circumstances. *See Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (setting forth standard of review and "exceptional circumstances" requirements).

**AFFIRMED.**