

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 24 2015

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANA MARIA AMEZQUITA-SALAZAR,

No. 13-73661

Petitioner,

Agency No. A077-304-391

v.

MEMORANDUM*

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 18, 2015**

Before: TASHIMA, OWENS, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Ana Maria Amezquita-Salazar, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") denial of her motion to reopen removal proceedings and to apply for protection under the Convention Against Torture. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Amezquita-Salazar's motion to reopen as she failed to establish prima facie eligibility for relief. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c); *Toufighi v. Mukasey*, 538 F.3d 988, 996-97 (9th Cir. 2008). We reject petitioner's contentions that the BIA applied the incorrect legal standard and failed to adequately review the evidence. *See Najmabadi v. Holder*, 597 F.3d 983, 990-91 (9th Cir. 2009) (BIA adequately considered evidence and sufficiently announced its decision).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.