**FILED** 

## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

FEB 19 2016

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ISMAEL RODRIGUEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 12-74137

Agency No. A092-828-245

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted February 10, 2016 Pasadena, California

Before: KLEINFELD, McKEOWN, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

An IJ found Ismael Rodriguez removable, ineligible for waiver of inadmissibility, and ineligible for suspension of deportation. The Board of Immigration Appeals affirmed, and denied Rodriguez's motion reopen. We

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

dismiss Rodriguez's petition in part for lack of jurisdiction, and deny in part because the Board did not abuse its discretion.

To the extent that Rodriguez's motion relied on errors of law in the Board's May 24, 2012 decision, the Board correctly recharacterized his motion as an untimely motion to reconsider. See 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(6)(B)–(C); Iturribarria v. INS, 321 F.3d 889, 895 (9th Cir. 2003). To the extent that Rodriguez moved to take account of new law and reopen his case sua sponte, we do not have jurisdiction to review the Board's denial. See Minasyan v. Mukasey, 553 F.3d 1224, 1229 (9th Cir. 2009). Rodriguez made only legal arguments and did not provide evidence of new facts. "A motion to reopen . . . is purely fact-based, seeking to present newly discovered facts or changed circumstances since a petitioner's hearing." Doissaint v. Mukasey, 538 F.3d 1167, 1170 (9th Cir. 2008) (citing 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(7)(B); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)). It would be a "useless formality" to remand to the Board. Vista Hill Found., Inc. v. Heckler, 767 F.2d 556, 566 n.9 (9th Cir. 1985) (quotation omitted).

The petition must be denied to the extent that it is a motion to reopen, and dismissed to the extent that it seeks review of the Board's exercise of discretion not to reopen sua sponte.

PETITION DENIED IN PART, DISMISSED IN PART.