

MAR 01 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FENG LAN LI,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 11-71930

Agency No. A077-957-627

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 24, 2016**

Before: LEAVY, FERNANDEZ, and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.

Feng Lan Li, a native and citizen of China, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen. *Lin v. Holder*, 588 F.3d 981, 984 (9th Cir. 2009). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Li's motion to reopen because it was filed more than five years after her order of removal became final, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Li failed to present material evidence of changed circumstances in China to qualify for a regulatory exception to the time limitation for filing a motion to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Lin*, 588 F.3d at 989 (agency's determination that petitioner did not establish material changed country conditions was not "arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law.").

We do not reach challenges Li raises to the agency's original decision because they were addressed by this court in *Li v. Holder*, 316 F. App'x 689 (9th Cir. 2009).

The 90-day stay of proceedings granted on September 23, 2015, has expired. Respondent's motion to lift the stay is denied as moot.

PETITION FOR DENIED.