

MAR 21 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

REYES ABIGAIL LINARES-  
GONZALEZ, AKA Reyes Abigail  
Linares,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 12-71142

Agency No. A075-679-882

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted October 20, 2015  
Pasadena, California

Before: PREGERSON and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges and BASTIAN,\*\* District  
Judge.

Petitioner Reyes Abigail Linares-Gonzales (“Linares”) challenges the denial  
of his application for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent  
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The Honorable Stanley Allen Bastian, District Judge for the U.S.  
District Court for the Eastern District of Washington, sitting by designation.

Convention Against Torture (“CAT”).<sup>1</sup> We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(1), and we deny the petition.

Linares does not claim that he has suffered any past persecution, and poverty and general conditions of violence are insufficient to support a claim of asylum or withholding of removal. *See, e.g., Lolong v. Gonzales*, 484 F.3d 1173, 1179–80 (9th Cir. 2007); *Li v. INS*, 92 F.3d 985, 987 (9th Cir. 1996). Similarly, general conditions of violence and poverty are insufficient to show that it is more likely than not that he would be tortured if he returned to Guatemala. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 208.18(a)(1). Accordingly, Linares has not met his burden of showing his entitlement to asylum, withholding of removal, or relief under CAT.

PETITION DENIED.

---

<sup>1</sup>Linares’ petition for relief in connection with his request for cancellation of removal is addressed in a separate opinion issued concurrently with this memorandum disposition.