

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

APR 19 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ABRAHAM HERNANDEZ CARBAJAL,  
AKA Abraham Carbajal, AKA Abraham  
Carbajal-Hernandez,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-72180

Agency No. A041-844-858

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 13, 2016\*\*

Before: FARRIS, TALLMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Abraham Hernandez Carbajal, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for protection under the

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency’s factual findings.

*Wakkary v. Holder*, 558 F.3d 1049, 1056 (9th Cir. 2009). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s denial of Hernandez Carbajal’s CAT claim because he failed to establish it is more likely than not he would be tortured by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government if returned to Mexico. *See Silaya v. Mukasey*, 524 F.3d 1066, 1073 (9th Cir. 2008). We reject Hernandez Carbajal’s contention that the agency failed to consider all his evidence or improperly analyzed his case.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**