

MAY 02 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IGNACIO GIL CORDOVA,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-71772

Agency No. A098-180-236

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 26, 2016**

Before: McKEOWN, WARDLAW, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Ignacio Gil Cordova, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's denial of his application for cancellation of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de novo claims of due process

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

violations. *Sandoval-Luna v. Mukasey*, 526 F.3d 1243, 1246 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review.

We are not persuaded by Cordova's contention that the laws governing cancellation of removal deprive his children, and the child for whom his wife is a guardian, of due process. *See, e.g., Fiallo v. Bell*, 430 U.S. 787, 793-99 (1977) (rejecting a due process challenge involving the rights of citizens and their alien parent or child); *Morales-Izquierdo v. Department of Homeland Sec.*, 600 F.3d 1076, 1091 (9th Cir. 2010), *overruled in part on other grounds by Garfias-Rodriguez v. Holder*, 702 F.3d 504, 516 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc) (denial of discretionary relief does not violate petitioner's or citizen family member's substantive rights under the Due Process Clause).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.