

FILED

MAY 09 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BINYAM FANCHO YALLEW,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 13-72539

Agency No. A057-657-882

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 3, 2016\*\*  
Seattle, Washington

Before: GRABER, BERZON, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Binyam Fancho Yallew, a native and citizen of Ethiopia, petitions for review of an order by the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s order finding Yallew removable and denying his application under 8 U.S.C. § 1186a(c)(4)(B) for a waiver of the joint filing

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

requirement to remove the conditional basis of his lawful permanent resident status. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's denial of the waiver, *see Oropeza–Wong v. Gonzales*, 406 F.3d 1135, 1147 (9th Cir. 2005), and we grant in part and deny in part the petition for review.

In light of the competing evidence offered by Yallev and his ex-wife, we are not compelled to find that the BIA erred in finding Yallev less persuasive, nor that Yallev entered into the marriage in good faith. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1186a(c)(4)(B); *Oropeza-Wong*, 406 F.3d at 1147–48. As the BIA noted, Yallev and his ex-wife lived together only briefly before Yallev relocated to the United States without her, the couple never significantly shared financial assets or liabilities, there was limited communication between them after Yallev moved to the United States, and they had no children. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1216.5(e)(2); *Oropeza–Wong*, 406 F.3d at 1148. Therefore, substantial evidence supports the BIA's conclusion that Yallev did not marry with the intent of establishing a life with his ex-wife at the time they were married. *See Damon v. Ashcroft*, 360 F.3d 1084, 1088 (9th Cir. 2004).

Nevertheless, the parties agree that a limited remand is appropriate for the BIA to reconsider its holding that Yallev's grant of voluntary departure

automatically terminated. We thus grant Yallev's petition in limited part and deny it in all other respects.<sup>1</sup>

**PETITION GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART.** The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal.

---

<sup>1</sup> In light of Yallev's concession, we do not reach the question of whether he may be eligible for a waiver of the joint filing requirement for reasons of extreme hardship.