

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUN 6 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

HRAIR NAZARI; JOULIET AKBARY
MASIHY; SELINA NAZARI; SEVADA
NAZARI,

Petitioners,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-72035

Agency Nos. A088-290-114
A088-290-115
A088-290-116
A088-290-492

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 24, 2016**

Before: REINHARDT, W. FLETCHER, and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

Hrair Nazari, Joullet Akbary Masihy, Selina Nazari, and Sevada Nazari,
natives of Iran and citizens of Germany, seek review of the Board of Immigration
Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

decision denying their applications for asylum. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. *See Bao Tai Nian v. Holder*, 683 F.3d 1227, 1229-30 (9th Cir. 2012). We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings. *Zehatye v. Gonzales*, 453 F.3d 1182, 1184-85 (9th Cir. 2006). We deny the petition for review.

The record does not compel the conclusion that the government of Germany was or would be unwilling or unable to control the individuals petitioners fear. *See Sowe v. Mukasey*, 538 F.3d 1281, 1286 (9th Cir. 2008) (declining to second-guess an IJ's construction of a somewhat contradictory country report where the IJ rationally construed the report and analyzed petitioner's specific situation); *see also Nahrvani v. Gonzales*, 399 F.3d 1148, 1154 (9th Cir. 2005) (record did not compel a finding that the government was unable or unwilling to control perpetrators where petitioner did not give the police the names of any suspects and the police investigated but were unable to solve the crime).

Thus, we uphold the BIA's denial of petitioners' asylum claims.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.