

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 26 2016

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

RAYMUNDO PEREZ SANCHEZ, AKA  
Raymunda Sanchez,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 13-72788

Agency No. A074-795-433

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 24, 2016\*\*

Before REINHARDT, W. FLETCHER, and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

Raymundo Perez Sanchez, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order summarily dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her application for withholding of removal and relief under the Convention Against Torture. Our

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).*

jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the BIA's decision to summarily dismiss an appeal. *Singh v. Gonzales*, 416 F.3d 1006, 1009 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in summarily dismissing Perez Sanchez's appeal. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(2)(i)(A), (E); *Reyes-Mendoza v. INS*, 774 F.2d 1364 (9th Cir. 1985) (summary dismissal appropriate where Notice of Appeal lacked sufficient specificity and no separate written brief was filed).

We lack jurisdiction to consider Perez Sanchez's contentions challenging the IJ's denial of relief because she did not raise them to the BIA. See *Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 677-78 (9th Cir. 2004) (petitioner must exhaust issues in administrative proceedings below).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**