

AUG 01 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHERINE QUINOLA PALPAL-  
LATOC,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-72520

Agency No. A079-300-183

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 26, 2016\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, CANBY, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Catherine Quinola Palpal-Latoc, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

applications for adjustment of status and a waiver under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i). We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to consider Palpal-Latoc's contention that her due process rights were violated by the IJ's bias, because Palpal-Latoc did not raise this contention in her brief to the BIA. *See Tijani v. Holder*, 628 F.3d 1071, 1080 (9th Cir. 2010) (the court lacks jurisdiction to consider legal claims not presented in an alien's administrative proceedings before the agency).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**