

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 24 2016

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MARIA JANEHT RAFAEL DEPAZ, AKA  
Juana Raquel Depaz-Rafel, AKA Maria  
Janeth Rafael Depaz,

No. 14-70672

Agency No. A097-319-133

Petitioner,

MEMORANDUM\*

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 16, 2016\*\*

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, LEAVY, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Maria Janeht Rafael Depaz petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reconsider the BIA's dismissal of her motion to reopen removal proceedings. Our jurisdiction is

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reconsider, *Cano-Merida v. INS*, 311 F.3d 960, 964 (9th Cir. 2002), and we deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Rafael Depaz's motion to reconsider where Rafael Depaz failed to identify any error of fact or law in the BIA's prior order. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(b)(1) (a motion to reconsider must specify errors of fact or law in a prior decision). We lack jurisdiction to consider new arguments Rafael Depaz makes that she did not raise in her motion to reconsider to the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 677-78 (9th Cir. 2004).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.**