NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RICHARD B. GOODIN,	No. 14-17226
Plaintiff-Appellant,	D.C. No. 1:07-cv-00074-DAE-BMK
v.	
FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation,	MEMORANDUM[*]
Defendant-Appellee.	

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Hawaii
David A. Ezra, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 13, 2016[**]

Before: HAWKINS, N.R. SMITH, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Richard B. Goodin appeals pro se from the district court's order denying his

motion to alter or amend the judgment dismissing without prejudice his diversity

action, and his motion for reconsideration of that denial. We review for an abuse

---

[*]   This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

[**]   The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of discretion the district court's denial of motions to alter or amend and for reconsideration. *Garamendi v. Henin*, 683 F.3d 1069, 1077 (9th Cir. 2012) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a)); *Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cty., Or. v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9th Cir. 1993) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 59 and 60(b)). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Goodin's motion to alter or amend the district court's judgment or his motion for reconsideration of the denial of that motion because Goodin failed to demonstrate any basis for relief. *See Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cty., Or.*, 5 F.3d at 1263 (setting forth grounds for relief from judgment under Rules 59 and 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Blanton v. Anzalone*, 813 F.2d 1574, 1577 (9th Cir. 1987) (setting forth grounds for relief under Rule 60(a)).

We do not consider Goodin's contentions regarding his prior appeal. *See Goodin v. Fid. Nat'l Title Ins. Co.*, 370 F. App'x 789 (9th Cir. 2010).

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.

14-17226