

FILED

DEC 19 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GAREN SHAMEYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-70987

Agency No. A045-639-232

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 8, 2016\*\*  
Pasadena, California

Before: CALLAHAN, BEA, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Garen Shameyan, a native and citizen of Armenia, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals's (BIA) denial of his motion to reconsider and reopen.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Shameyan’s only argument on appeal—that the BIA erred in not reopening his case in light of our decision in *Quintero-Salazar v. Keisler*, 506 F.3d 688 (9th Cir. 2007)—involves an exercise of the BIA’s *sua sponte* authority.<sup>1</sup> *See In re G–D–*, 22 I. & N. Dec. 1132, 1135 (BIA 1999). Shameyan does not claim that the BIA made any legal or constitutional error, *cf. Bonilla v. Lynch*, 840 F.3d 575, 588 (9th Cir. 2016), and we lack jurisdiction to review the BIA’s discretionary decision not to reopen proceedings *sua sponte*, *see Mejia–Hernandez v. Holder*, 633 F.3d 818, 823–24 (9th Cir. 2011).

**PETITION DISMISSED.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Shameyan waived his claims that the BIA erred in rejecting Dr. Jasmine Tehrani’s psychological evaluation, and that the BIA erred in reaffirming its prior decision denying equitable tolling. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259 (9th Cir. 1996).