

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 25 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOEL RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ISAAC, Counselor at Corcoran State Prison
- SHU,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 16-15705

D.C. No. 1:11-cv-01914-AWI-EPG

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Anthony W. Ishii, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 11, 2017**

Before: GOULD, CLIFTON, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Noel Rodriguez, a California state prisoner, appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging deliberate indifference to his safety. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). *Watison v.*

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Carter, 668 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Rodriguez's action because Rodriguez failed to allege facts sufficient to show that defendant caused the alleged constitutional deprivation. *See Farmer v. Brennan*, 511 U.S. 825, 837 (1994) (to violate the Eighth Amendment, a prison official must know of and disregard an excessive risk to inmate safety); *Harper v. City of Los Angeles*, 533 F.3d 1010, 1026 (9th Cir. 2008) (in a § 1983 action, the plaintiff must demonstrate that the defendant's conduct caused the claimed injury by establishing both causation-in-fact and proximate causation).

We do not consider arguments and allegations raised for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

AFFIRMED.