

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 11 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

NAZARIO MARQUEZ-FLORES, a.k.a.  
Nazario Flores Marquez, a.k.a. Nazario  
Marquez Flores, a.k.a. Nazario Marquez-  
Florez,

Defendant-Appellant.

Nos. 16-10284  
16-10298

D.C. Nos. 2:13-cr-01382-PGR  
4:15-cr-02287-PGR

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Paul G. Rosenblatt, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 8, 2017\*\*

Before: REINHARDT, LEAVY, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Nazario Marquez-Flores appeals the 24-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for reentry of a removed alien, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326, and the consecutive 18-month sentence

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

imposed upon revocation of supervised release. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Marquez-Flores's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Marquez-Flores the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief in these direct appeals.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**