

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 1 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SANDRA JIMENEZ-ESQUER, AKA
Monica Jimenez-Esquer,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 14-70097

Agency No. A037-801-746

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 24, 2017**

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, and SILVERMAN and RAWLINSON,
Circuit Judges.

Sandra Jimenez-Esquer, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying her application for relief under the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency’s factual findings, *Silaya v. Mukasey*, 524 F.3d 1066, 1070 (9th Cir. 2008), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA’s denial of Jimenez-Esquer’s CAT claim because Jimenez-Esquer failed to establish it is more likely than not she would be tortured by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of Mexico. *See id.* at 1073; *Zheng v. Holder*, 644 F.3d 829, 835-36 (9th Cir. 2011) (claims of possible torture were speculative). We reject her contentions that the agency erred in analyzing her claim.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.