

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUN 30 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUAN JOSE VIRGEN VALENZUELA,

No. 15-72588

Petitioner,

Agency No. A076-621-323

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 26, 2017\*\*

Before: PAEZ, BEA, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Juan Jose Virgen Valenzuela, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for deferral under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency’s factual findings. We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency’s denial of CAT relief because Virgen Valenzuela failed to show it is more likely than not he would be tortured by or with the consent or acquiescence of the Mexican government. *See Delgado-Ortiz v. Holder*, 600 F.3d 1148, 1152 (9th Cir. 2010) (generalized evidence of violence in Mexico insufficient to establish eligibility for CAT); *Alphonsus v. Holder*, 705 F.3d 1031, 1049 (9th Cir. 2013) (despite “troubling country reports,” evidence did not compel the conclusion that it was more likely than not that the petitioner would be tortured upon return).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**