

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 27 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

JULIO BAYRON-ARRIOLA, a.k.a. Julio  
Bayron Estrada-Arriola,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 17-10099  
17-10100

D.C. No. 4:16-cr-00736-RCC  
4:12-cr-00939-RCC

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Raner C. Collins, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 23, 2017\*\*

Before: LEAVY, WATFORD, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Julio Bayron-Arriola appeals his guilty-plea conviction and 46-month sentence for reentry of a removed alien, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326, and the revocation of supervised release and consecutive 8-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

(1967), Bayron-Arriola's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Bayron-Arriola the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Bayron-Arriola waived his right to appeal his conviction, the revocation of supervised release, and his sentences. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waivers. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeals. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED.**

**DISMISSED.**