

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OCT 30 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JIAHAO JIN,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 16-70271

Agency No. A205-183-979

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 23, 2017**

Before: McKEOWN, WATFORD, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Jiahao Jin, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his application for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual findings. *Zhi v. Holder*, 751 F.3d 1088, 1091 (9th Cir. 2014). We grant the petition for review and remand.

The agency denied Jin's claims based on a finding that Jin failed to provide sufficient corroborating evidence. Substantial evidence does not support the agency's finding. *See Ren v. Holder*, 648 F.3d 1079, 1093 (9th Cir. 2011); *see Zhi*, 751 F.3d at 1095 (IJ erred in not providing applicant notice that he was required to present corroborative evidence referred to in her decision). Thus, we grant the petition for review and remand for further proceedings consistent with this disposition. *See INS v. Ventura*, 537 U.S. 12, 16-18 (2002) (per curiam).

PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.