

FILED

DEC 1 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LI QIANG CHENG,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III,
Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-72211

Agency No. A099-538-847

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted November 17, 2017
Pasadena, California

Before: **KOZINSKI** and **IKUTA**, Circuit Judges, and **GETTLEMAN**,**
District Judge.

“We review the BIA’s findings of fact, including credibility findings, for substantial evidence and uphold the BIA’s findings unless the evidence compels a

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The Honorable Robert W. Gettleman, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

contrary result.” Cui v. Holder, 712 F.3d 1332, 1336 (9th Cir. 2013). Cheng has presented no evidence that the I-213 form was inaccurate, see Espinoza v. INS, 45 F.3d 308, 310 (9th Cir. 1995), and has not exhausted his claim that the I-826 form was improperly admitted. See Abebe v. Mukasey, 554 F.3d 1203, 1208 (9th Cir. 2009) (en banc). Nor has Cheng established that the immigration judge’s credibility finding lacked support in the record: “[E]ven a petitioner’s minor inconsistencies, when aggregated or when viewed in light of the total circumstances, may undermine credibility.” Shrestha v. Holder, 590 F.3d 1034, 1043 n.4 (9th Cir. 2010).

PETITION DENIED.