

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 22 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

PATRICIA ORTIZ SALINAS,

No. 14-74036

Petitioner,

Agency No. A092-397-663

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of an  
Immigration Judge's Decision

Submitted December 18, 2017\*\*

Before: WALLACE, SILVERMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Patricia Ortiz Salinas, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(a) that she did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture and thus is not entitled to relief from her reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ’s factual findings. *Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch*, 828 F.3d 829, 836 (9th Cir. 2016). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ’s determination that Ortiz Salinas failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of future persecution in Mexico on account of a protected ground. *See Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1016, 1018 (9th Cir. 2003) (possibility of future persecution “too speculative”).

Substantial evidence also supports the IJ’s determination that Ortiz Salinas failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of Mexico. *See Zheng v. Holder*, 644 F.3d 829, 835-36 (9th Cir. 2011) (fear of torture speculative).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**