

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 16 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 17-30037

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 4:96-cr-00026-HRH

v.

MEMORANDUM*

ABRAM PAUL WALTER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Alaska

H. Russel Holland, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2018**

Before: LEAVY, FERNANDEZ, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Abram Paul Walter appeals from the district court's order granting authorization for funds to be paid from his inmate trust account toward his restitution obligation, the court's denial of his motion for reconsideration of that order, and the court's amended judgment establishing a restitution payment

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

schedule. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Walter's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Walter the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.