

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FEB 23 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NORMAN GERALD DANIELS III,

No. 17-15705

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 1:16-cv-01312-EPG

v.

MEMORANDUM*

STU SHERMAN, Warden,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
Erica P. Grosjean, Magistrate Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2018**

Before: LEAVY, FERNANDEZ, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Norman Gerald Daniels III appeals pro se from the magistrate judge's order dismissing his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging claims related to his legal blindness. We review de novo whether the magistrate judge validly entered judgment on behalf of the district court. *Allen v. Meyer*, 755 F.3d

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

866, 867-68 (9th Cir. 2014). We vacate and remand.

Daniels consented to proceed before the magistrate judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The magistrate judge then screened and dismissed Daniels's action before the named defendant had been served. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915A(b)(1), 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). Because all parties, including unserved defendants, must consent to proceed before the magistrate judge for jurisdiction to vest, *Williams v. King*, 875 F.3d 500, 503-04 (9th Cir. 2017), we vacate the magistrate judge's order and remand for further proceedings.

VACATED and REMANDED.