

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 1 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

GRACE ALBANESE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
DEPARTMENT,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 17-17390

D.C. No. 2:16-cv-00532-RFB-GWF

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Richard F. Boulware II, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 13, 2018\*\*

Before: LEAVY, FERNANDEZ, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

Grace Albanese appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging constitutional claims against the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. *Resnick v. Hayes*, 213

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

F.3d 443, 447 (9th Cir. 2000). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Albanese's action because Albanese failed to allege facts sufficient to show that a constitutional deprivation resulted from an official policy, practice, or custom. *See Cameron v. Craig*, 713 F.3d 1012, 1023 (9th Cir. 2013) (setting forth elements of a claim under *Monell v. Department of Social Services of City of New York*, 436 U.S. 658 (1978)).

**AFFIRMED.**