

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAR 22 2018

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

AMADOR LUEVANOS-GARCIA, AKA
Guillermo Garcia Espinosa,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 15-72944

Agency No. A090-344-153

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an
Immigration Judge's Decision

Submitted March 13, 2018**

Before: LEAVY, M. SMITH, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Amador Luevanos-Garcia, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of an immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R. § 1208.31(a) that he did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture in Mexico, and thus is not entitled to relief from his reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the IJ's factual findings, *Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch*, 828 F.3d 829, 833 (9th Cir. 2016), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's determination that Luevanos-Garcia failed to establish a reasonable possibility of future persecution in Mexico on account of a protected ground. *See Zetino v. Holder*, 622 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2010) ("An [applicant's] desire to be free from harassment by criminals motivated by theft or random violence by gang members bears no nexus to a protected ground.").

Substantial evidence also supports the conclusion that Luevanos-Garcia failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the government of Mexico. *See Andrade-Garcia*, 828 F.3d at 836-37.

We reject Luevanos-Garcia's contention that the IJ failed to consider all of his claims and evidence.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.