

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FILED

JUN 25 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 14-90065 and 14-90066

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge entered and then subsequently destroyed a “declaratory relief document” in her civil case.

Because complainant raised the same allegation in her previous misconduct complaint, and the former chief judge dismissed those charges as unfounded, see In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, No. 13-90177 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2014), no further action is necessary. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(C); see In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 563 F.3d 853, 854 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009).

Complainant now alleges that a magistrate judge tampered with her case and hid “invisible documents.” She claims that the magistrate judge retaliated against her, and conspired with the district judge and the deputy city attorney to fabricate evidence and to commit fraud. Adverse rulings cannot prove bias or conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Further, during the limited inquiry conducted for the previous misconduct

complaint, court staff were contacted and reported no knowledge of any documents ever being removed from complainant's case file. This allegation must therefore be dismissed as unsupported. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant's other allegations, including those about an "independent claim," are unintelligible and thus will not be considered.

DISMISSED.