

**FILED**

APR 3 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 14-90117 and 14-90118

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant alleges that two district judges made improper rulings in his civil case, and that one of the judges should have disqualified himself due to a prior dismissal of a habeas petition filed by complainant. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 623 F.3d 1101, 1102 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2010) (holding that the decision not to recuse is merits-related); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); see also Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges that one of the judges is biased against him on account of his pro se status. Adverse rulings cannot alone prove bias. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Because complainant offers no other evidence to support his claim, this charge is dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule

11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**