

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**FILED**

AUG 05 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 14-90166 and 14-90167

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge and a magistrate judge made improper rulings in his civil case. These claims must be dismissed because they relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges that the judges were biased against him based on his pro se status and his age, and colluded against him to obstruct justice. But adverse rulings alone are not proof of bias or conspiracy, and complainant provides no other objectively verifiable evidence to support such allegations. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**