FILED

APR 14 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF	Nos. 14-90174 and 14-90175
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT	**ORDER**

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a litigant who unsuccessfully moved to intervene in two pending class actions, alleges that two district judges lack the mental capability to preside in the underlying cases. To the extent complainant alleges that the judges misapplied the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, ignored relevant case law or made other erroneous rulings, these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant further alleges that the judges were biased against him, based on unspecified extrajudicial sources, and one judge's animosity toward complainant's former partner. Since complainant provides no objectively verifiable proof to support these allegations, and because adverse rulings alone are not proof of bias, these charges must be dismissed for lack of evidence. See 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 687 F.3d 1188 (9th Cir. 2012).

Finally, complainant alleges that one judge has "geriatric issues," and that both judges are incapable of understanding the law because, inter alia, "female brains are driven by emotion," and are "inab[le] to tackle complex logical puzzles." These claims are frivolous and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(C).

DISMISSED.