

FILED

OCT 13 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 15-90117

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant alleges that a bankruptcy judge has treated him in a “horrible, irascible, indifferent and callous manner,” has repeatedly ordered him to sit down, and has requested the presence of courtroom security when complainant is present. However, complainant does not provide the dates of any specific incidents, does not specify any allegedly improper comments, and does not adequately explain how the presence of courtroom security amounts to misconduct. Complainant’s vague and conclusory allegations fail to raise any inference that misconduct has occurred, and these charges must be dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 650 F.3d 1370, 1372 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011) (rejecting complainant’s “invitation for the Judicial Council to conduct a fishing expedition” and explaining that “vague accusations and convoluted demands don’t satisfy complainant’s obligation to provide objective evidence of misconduct”); Judicial-Conduct Rules 11(c)(1)(A), (D).

Complainant also alleges that the judge is biased against him, but offers no objectively verifiable evidence in support of this allegation, which is dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009)(noting that “adverse rulings alone do not constitute proof of bias”); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.