

FILED

MAY 12 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 16-90068

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

A pro se prisoner alleges that a district judge dismissed his civil case and required complainant to pay the filing fee in an attempt “to sheild [sic] her fellow law dogs.” However, adverse rulings alone cannot prove bias or conspiracy. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). Because complainant offers no other evidence to support his claims, these charges are dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). Furthermore, any disagreement complainant has with the judge’s rulings is merits-related and not cognizable in misconduct proceedings. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

DISMISSED.