

FILED

AUG 17 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 16-90091

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that a district judge made improper rulings in her civil case. This claim relates directly to the merits of the judge’s rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Although complainant names only one subject district judge on her complaint form, in her statement of facts, complainant lists other judges, some from other jurisdictions, that allegedly committed misconduct or were “illiterate to the law.” Our local rules require that a complainant “must either use the form appended to the local rules, or shall identify any and all subject judge(s) *on the first page* of the complaint.” See Local Rule 6.1(a). To the extent complainant intends to lodge allegations against federal judges within this circuit, she fails to provide sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred, and

the allegations are dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

Complainant's requests for help in filing her cases and retaining counsel are denied because she seeks relief that is not available in judicial misconduct proceedings. 28 U.S.C. § 354(a)(2); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 630 F.3d 1262 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(a).

DISMISSED.