

**FILED**

NOV 16 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 17-90100, 17-90101,  
17-90102 and 17-90103

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se litigant, alleges that two district judges and two magistrate judges were biased due to complainant's race. She seems to further allege that the judges colluded with police and others against her. However, adverse rulings are not proof of bias or conspiracy, and complainant provides no objectively verifiable evidence to support these allegations, which must be dismissed as unfounded. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). To the extent complainant disagrees with the judges' handling of her case, these allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant's allegations against court staff are dismissed because the misconduct complaint procedure applies only to federal judges. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 4.

**DISMISSED.**