

**FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

TASH HEPTING, on Behalf of  
Himself and All Others Similarly  
Situated; GREGORY HICKS; ERIK  
KNUTZEN, on Behalf of Themselves  
and All Others Similarly Situated;  
CAROLYN JEWEL on Behalf of  
Herself and All Others Similarly  
Situated; BENSON B. ROE, Plaintiff  
in related case no. C-06-03467  
MMC,

*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*

and

WIRED NEWS; CONDENET INC.,

*Intervenors,*

v.

AT&T CORPORATION,

*Defendant-Appellant,*

and

AT&T, INC.,

*Defendant,*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Defendant-Intervenor.*

No. 06-17132

D.C. No.

CV-06-00672-VRW

TASH HEPTING, on Behalf of  
Himself and All Others Similarly  
Situating; GREGORY HICKS, ERIK  
KNUTZEN, on Behalf of Themselves  
and All Others Similarly Situated;  
CAROLYN JEWEL, on Behalf of  
Herself and All Others Similarly  
Situating; BENSON B. ROE, Plaintiff  
in related case no. C-06-03467  
MMC,

*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*

v.

AT&T CORPORATION; AT&T, INC.,  
*Defendants,*

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
*Defendant-Intervenor-Appellant.*

No. 06-17137  
D.C. No.  
CV-06-00672-VRW  
Northern District of  
California,  
San Francisco  
**ORDER**

Filed August 21, 2008

Before: Harry Pregerson, Michael Daly Hawkins, and  
M. Margaret McKeown, Circuit Judges.

---

**ORDER**

In light of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-261, we remand this case to the district court. We retain jurisdiction over any further appeals.



---

PRINTED FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS  
BY THOMSON REUTERS/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted  
© 2008 Thomson Reuters/West.