

**FOR PUBLICATION**  
**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

MICHAEL ROBERT PULIDO,  
*Petitioner-Appellee,*  
v.  
CHRIS CHRONES,  
*Respondent-Appellant.*

No. 05-15916  
D.C. No.  
CV-99-04933-CW

MICHAEL ROBERT PULIDO,  
*Petitioner-Appellant,*  
v.  
CHRIS CHRONES,  
*Respondent-Appellee.*

No. 05-16308  
D.C. No.  
CV-99-04933-CW  
ORDER

ON REMAND FROM THE UNITED STATES  
SUPREME COURT

Filed March 20, 2009

Before: Alfred T. Goodwin, Diarmuid F. O'Scannlain, and  
Sidney R. Thomas, Circuit Judges.

---

**ORDER**

In light of *Hedgpeth v. Pulido*, 555 U.S. \_\_\_ (2008), 129 S. Ct. 530, which vacated the judgment of this court, 487 F.3d 669, and remanded, we in turn remand this case to the district court for further proceedings in accordance with the Supreme Court's determination that the appropriate standard of review in a case under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty

Act of 1996 is harmless error, rather than structural error, when a jury is instructed on alternative theories of guilt.

REMANDED.



---

PRINTED FOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE—U.S. COURTS  
BY THOMSON REUTERS/WEST—SAN FRANCISCO

The summary, which does not constitute a part of the opinion of the court, is copyrighted  
© 2009 Thomson Reuters/West.