FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

No. 11-50003

v.

D.C. No. 2:10-cr-00830-JFW-1

JOHN DENNIS APEL,

Defendant-Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

No. 11-50004

v.

D.C. No. 2:10-cr-00869-JFW-1

JOHN DENNIS APEL,

Defendant-Appellant.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

No. 11-50005

v.

D.C. No. 2:10-cr-00831-JFW-1

JOHN DENNIS APEL,

Defendant-Appellant.

OPINION

On Remand From The United States Supreme Court

Filed August 14, 2014

Before: Barry G. Silverman and Johnnie B. Rawlinson, Circuit Judges, and John R. Tunheim, District Judge.*

Per Curiam Opinion

COUNSEL

Erwin Chemerinsky, Selwyn Chu (argued) and Matthew Plunkett (argued), law students, University of California, Irvine School of Law, for Defendant-Appellant.

André Birotte Jr., United States Attorney, Robert E. Dugdale and Mark R. Yohalem (argued), Assistant United States Attorneys, Los Angeles, California, for Plaintiff-Appellee.

OPINION

PER CURIAM:

On February 26, 2014, the United States Supreme Court vacated our opinion at 676 F.3d 1202 and remanded the case to us for further proceedings consistent with its opinion. *United States v. Apel*, ___ U.S. ___, 134 S.Ct. 1144 (2014).

In light of the Supreme Court's decision, the judgment of the district court is **AFFIRMED**.

^{*} The Honorable John R. Tunheim, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, sitting by designation.