

FILED

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

JUN 24 2026
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 25-90237

ORDER

MURGUIA, Chief Judge:

Complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a district judge. Review of this complaint is governed by the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”), the federal statutes addressing judicial conduct and disability, 28 U.S.C. § 351 *et seq.*, and relevant prior decisions of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Council. In accordance with these authorities, the names of the complainant and the subject judge shall not be disclosed in this order. *See* Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(g)(2).

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, following review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. *See* 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii). Judicial misconduct proceedings are not a substitute for the normal appellate review process and may not be used to seek reversal of a judge's decision, to obtain a new trial, or to request reassignment to a different judge.

Complainant alleges that the district judge who sentenced complainant following his criminal conviction committed misconduct during the sentencing hearing. Specifically, when imposing the below-guidelines sentence, the district judge referenced an unrelated but somewhat similar case in which a different judge had imposed the same sentence. The district judge also explained that complainant's sentence was longer than that of his co-defendant's sentence because complainant had been a lawyer with responsibilities to the public.

Complainant challenges the judge's decisions, including the calculation of appropriate sentences for complainant and his co-defendant. "Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related. The phrase "decision or procedural ruling" is not limited to rulings issued in deciding Article III cases or controversies." Commentary on Rule 4.

Accordingly, these allegations are dismissed because they relate to the merits of the judge's decisions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (listing reasons

the chief judge may decide to dismiss the complaint, including that claims are directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling); *In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 838 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2016) (dismissing as merits-related allegations that a judge made various improper rulings in a case); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

DISMISSED.