

Orientation Manual



for Lawyer Representatives
to the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference



The Role and Responsibilities of Lawyer Representatives to the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference

Updated June 2023

Table of Contents

2022 – 2023 Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee	1
LRCC Officers	2
2022 – 2023 LRCC Roster	4
Overview	11
The Structure of the Ninth Circuit	12
Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference	14
Judicial Conference Executive Committee Subcommittees	14
Typical Conference Agenda	15
The Lawyer Representative’s Role Throughout the Year	16
Duties of A Lawyer Representative	19
Duties of A District Delegation Chairperson	21
Appendix A: Judicial Council Organization Chart	24
Appendix B: Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Committees	25
Appendix C: Judicial Conference Executive Committee Structure	26

2022-2023 Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee



The Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee, or LRCC, met on Sept. 30, 2022, at the James R. Browning United States Courthouse in San Francisco.

LRCC Officers



Misty Perry Isaacson, Chair

Misty Perry Isaacson is an attorney with the firm of Pagter and Perry Isaacson, APLC. Ms. Perry Isaacson focuses her practice on bankruptcy and insolvency matters. She is a certified bankruptcy specialist, certified by the State Bar of California and has over 20 years of experience in bankruptcy matters. She represents, or has represented debtors, creditors, Chapter 7 and 13 trustees, the United States trustee, and parties to lawsuits in bankruptcy proceedings and assists clients in non-bankruptcy financial workouts and collection issues. Ms. Perry Isaacson is admitted to practice before all California courts, the Central, Southern, Northern, and Eastern Districts, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.



Roger Townsend, Chair Elect

Roger Townsend is a trial attorney and the founding partner of Breskin Johnson & Townsend, PLLC, a plaintiff-side civil litigation firm in Seattle. Currently, he is the chair elect of the Ninth Circuit's Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee (LRCC) and a member of the Conference Executive Committee. He has served as the co-chair of the Western District of Washington delegation to the Ninth Circuit's LRCC and was the president of the Federal Bar Association for the Western District of Washington from 2016 to 2017. Mr. Townsend is a multi-decade volunteer at the bar association's Civil Rights Legal Clinic and former city councilmember on Bainbridge Island, Washington. He is an "AV-Rated" attorney and has been named a "Super Lawyer" each year since 2007. Mr. Townsend began his career as a law clerk to United States District Judge William L. Dwyer of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington. He subsequently served as clerk to U.S. Circuit Judge Robert R. Beezer of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Mr. Townsend earned his B.A. from Wesleyan University and his J.D. from Northwestern University School of Law.



Cynthia Jones, Vice Chair

Cynthia Jones of Jones Legal Group concentrates her practice on civil and criminal appeals in federal and state courts, including briefing and arguing cases in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the Supreme Court of Washington and the various courts of appeal in Washington State. She also handles matters at the trial level in both federal and state courts. She was elected to "Washington Rising Stars" from 2010 to 2016 and to "Washington Super Lawyers" from 2017 to 2022. Ms. Jones is an appointee to the Criminal Justice Act Panel for the Ninth Circuit and Western District of Washington. She served as past president of the Federal Bar Association for the

Western District of Washington, past president of the William L. Dwyer American Inn of Court and past chair of the King County Bar Association Appellate Practice Section. She currently serves, by appointment of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington, as lawyer representative to the Ninth Circuit and currently serves as program chair for the Ninth Judicial Circuit Historical Society. She started her legal career clerking for Justice Charles W. Johnson of the Washington Supreme Court.

2022-2023 LRCC Roster

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PRACTICE AREA</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>
Misty Perry Isaacson Chair LRCC/CEC Pagter and Perry Isaacson, APLC 1851 East First Street, Suite 700 Santa Ana, California 92705 Phone: (714) 541-6072 misty@ppilawyers.com	Bankruptcy	Central District of CA <i>Term Ending 08/31/2023</i>
Roger Townsend Chair Elect LRCC/CEC Breskin Johnson & Townsend PLLC 1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3670 Seattle, WA 98104 Phone: (206) 652-8660 rtownsend@bjtlegal.com	Civil	Western District of WA <i>Term Ending 07/31/2024</i>
Cynthia Jones Vice Chair LRCC/CEC Jones Legal Group, LLC 1200 5th Avenue, Suite 1711 Seattle, WA 98101-3118 Phone: (206) 596-7878 cjones@joneslegalgroup.net	Criminal/Civil	Western District of WA <i>Term Ending 07/31/2025</i>
Michelle Nesbett Birch Horton Bittner & Cherot 510 L Street, Suite 700 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Phone: (907) 802-2998 MNesbett@BHB.com	Civil/Criminal	District of Alaska <i>Term Ending 07/31/2024</i>

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PRACTICE AREA</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>
Amy Chang United States Attorney's Office 40 N. Central Ave., Suite 1800 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone: (602) 514-7574 amy.chang@usdoj.gov	Criminal	District of Arizona <i>Term Ending 07/31/2024</i>
Alexander W. Samuels Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone: (602) 514-7746 awsamuels@gmail.com	Criminal	District of Arizona <i>Term Ending 07/31/2023</i>
Nicole Torres-Ripple NMI Settlement Fund P.O. Box 501247 Saipan, MP 96950 Phone: (670) 322-3863 nicole.m.torres-ripple@nmisf.com	Civil/Bankruptcy	District of CNMI <i>Term Ending 07/31/2025</i>
Cynthia V. Ecube Law Office of Cynthia V. Ecube A Professional Corporation 207 Martyr Street, Suite 3 Hagatna, Guam 96910 Phone: (671) 472-8889 ecube@ecubelaw.com	Criminal/Civil	District of Guam <i>Term Ending 07/31/2025</i>
Maile S. Miller Starn O'Toole Marcus & Fisher Makai Tower, Pacific Guardian Center 733 Bishop Street, Suite 1900 Honolulu, HI, 96813-4014 Phone: (808) 537-6100 Direct Line: (808) 447-5326 mmiller@starnlaw.com	Civil	District of Hawaii

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PRACTICE AREA</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>
Katie Ball University of Idaho 875 Perimeter Drive Moscow, ID 83844 Phone: (208) 870-8072 ktball@uidaho.edu	Criminal/Civil	District of Idaho
Sonyalee Nutsch Clements Brown & McNichols PA 321 13th Street Lewiston, ID 83501 Phone: (208) 743-6538 snutsch@clbrmc.com		District of Idaho
Domenic Cossi Western Justice Law 303 W. Mendenhall Street, Suite 1 Bozeman, MT 59715 Phone: 406-587-1900 domenic@westernjusticelaw.com	Civil	District of Montana
Lindsay Ager United States Attorney's Office 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 388-6336 lindsay.ager@usdoj.gov	Civil	District of Nevada
Holly Parker Reno City Attorney's Office 1 East First Street Reno, NV 89501 Phone: (775) 326-6636 Snsn585@yahoo.com	Civil	District of Nevada

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PRACTICE AREA</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>
Lydia Anderson-Dana Stoll Berne PC 209 SW Oak St Ste 500 Portland, OR 97204 Phone: (503) 227-1600 landersondana@stollberne.com	Civil	District of Oregon
Susan F. Wilk Federal Public Defenders Office One Main Place, 101 Southwest Main Street, Room 1700 Portland, OR 97204 Phone: (503) 326-4902 Susan_Wilk@fd.org	Criminal	District of Oregon
Nina D. Boyajian Greenberg Traurig, LLP 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: (310) 586-6587 BoyajianN@gtlaw.com		Central District of CA <i>Term Ending 07/31/2023</i>
Michael V. Schafler Cohen Williams LLP 724 South Spring Street, 9th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014 Phone: (213) 232-5160 Cell: (818) 618-9128 mschafler@cohen-williams.com	Criminal/Civil	Central District of CA <i>Term Ending 07/31/2023</i>
Christopher Hales U.S. Attorney's Office 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 554-2791 Christopher.Hales@usdoj.gov		Eastern District of CA

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PRACTICE AREA</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>
Jerome Price Office of the Federal Defender Eastern District of California 801 I Street, 3rd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: (916) 498-5700 jerome_price@fd.org	Criminal	Eastern District of CA <i>Term Ending 12/31/2023</i>
Katherine L. Benson Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery St San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: (415) 956-1000 kbenson@lchb.com	Civil	Northern District of CA <i>Term Ending 07/31/2024</i>
Kathleen Hartnett Cooley LLP 3 Embarcadero Center, 20 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4004 Phone: (415) 693-2000 khartnett@cooley.com	Civil/Appellate	Northern District of CA <i>Term Ending 07/31/2024</i>
Janet A. Cabral Assistant U.S. Attorney Office of the United States Attorney 880 Front Street, Room 6293 San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 546-8715 janet.cabral@usdoj.gov	Civil	Southern District of CA
Gary Osborne Osborne & Nesbitt LLP 101 W Broadway, Ste 1330 San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 557-0343 gosborne@onlawllp.com	Civil	Southern District of CA

<u>NAME</u>	<u>PRACTICE AREA</u>	<u>DISTRICT</u>
Ethan A. Jones Yakama Nation Office of Legal Counsel PO Box 151 Toopenish, WA 98948 Phone: (509) 865-7268 ethan@yakamanation-olc.org	Civil	Eastern District of WA
Bret Uhrich Walker Heye Meehan Eisenger, PLLC 1333 Columbia Park Trail, Suite 220 Richland, WA 99352 Direct: (509) 537-1204 Phone: (509) 735-4444 buhrich@walkerheye.com	Civil	Eastern District of WA
Mohammad Ali Hamoudi 505 5th Ave S, Suite #330, Seattle, WA 98104 Phone: 206-492-7720 Mrhamoudi@gmail.com mo@hlg.lawyer mo@herrmannlawgroup.com	Criminal	Western District of WA
Kathleen V. Shoemaker Bankruptcy Chair Shoemaker and Dart P.S., Inc. 6706 24th Street W., Suite A Tacoma, WA 98466 Phone: (253) 365-6363 kshoemaker@shoedartlaw.com	Bankruptcy	Western District of WA
Johanna S. Schiavoni Appellate Chair Complex Appellate Litigation Group LLP 501 West Broadway, Suite 800 San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 642-2929 johanna.schiavoni@calg.com	Appellate	Southern District of CA <i>Term Ending 12/31/2025</i>

NAME**Renée S. Lorda****Staff****Office of the Circuit Executive**

Conference and Education
Assistant Circuit Executive
Office of the Circuit Executive
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939
Phone: (415) 355-8920
Fax: (415) 355-8901
rlorda@ce9.uscourts.gov

Pam O'Neill**Staff****Office of the Circuit Executive**

Conference Administrator
Office of the Circuit Executive
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939
Phone: (415) 355-8972
Fax: (415) 355-8901
poneill@ce9.uscourts.gov

Jeanette Hrynyak**Staff****Office of the Circuit Executive**

Conference and Education Assistant
Office of the Circuit Executive
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939
Phone: (415) 355-8977
Fax: (415) 355-8901
jhrnyak@ce9.uscourts.gov

Overview

Congratulations on your selection as a lawyer representative for the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference. Over the next three years, you have an important role to play by drawing on your experience as a federal practitioner, and your contacts within the federal bar, to assist this circuit in improving the administration of justice.

This handbook is provided to guide you in understanding:

- The structure of the courts in the Ninth Circuit.
- The organization of the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference and its statutory purposes.
- How the lawyer representatives, judicial officers, and court personnel from the various districts work together to achieve those purposes.

The focus on the serious, business side of the conference should not, however, detract from what ultimately can be the most enjoyable aspect of your tenure: the opportunity to meet and interact on an informal basis with judges and lawyers throughout the circuit. We hope you find this handbook helpful, and your service as a lawyer representative rewarding.

The Structure of the Ninth Circuit

The United States Courts for the Ninth Circuit consists of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the federal trial and bankruptcy courts in the nine western states of Alaska, Arizona, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Washington and the U.S. Territory of Guam and Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. The circuit includes over 400 circuit, district, and bankruptcy judges, and full-time and part-time magistrate judges—almost one-sixth of all the federal judicial officers in the nation.

Circuit-Wide Administration: Administratively, the Ninth Circuit operates on three distinct levels—the court of appeals, the district courts and circuit-wide. The nine-member Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit serves as the chief policy-making body for all of the courts in the circuit. Presided over by the chief judge of the court of appeals, the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit is comprised of six circuit judges and five district judges, plus two non-voting observers: a chief bankruptcy judge and a magistrate judge.

The Office of the Circuit Executive is the administrative arm of the judicial council and carries out its directives. The council meets quarterly to establish broad policy objectives and to review personnel and caseload statistics. At this time, more than a dozen committees and task forces have been established to assist in its work. The circuit executive provides staff for these committees. A list of committees and task forces currently in effect is included in **Appendix A**.

Lawyer involvement in judicial administration has been enhanced by lawyer participation on committees such as the Judicial Conference Executive Committee, the Advisory Board, and the Public Information and Community Outreach Committee. One of the principal functions of the council is to oversee the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference Executive Committee to assure smooth and timely planning of the judicial conference each year.

Court of Appeals Administration: The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit operates as an independent, autonomous entity that includes all of the circuit judges and is headed by the chief judge. The clerk of the court of appeals is the chief administrative officer and serves at the pleasure of the circuit judges. The clerk of the court of appeals performs similar functions to those of a district court clerk (**see following page**).

The court of appeals has created a seven-member rotating court executive committee that meets bimonthly, while the full court meets quarterly, to discuss and manage the business of the court of appeals. The court's committees investigate issues and make

recommendations to the full court for action. A list of its committees can be found in **Appendix B**. Proposals suggested by these committees range from steps to streamline the appeals process to nominations for federal public defender or bankruptcy judge positions, from habeas corpus death penalty emergency rules to a 24-hour clerk's office hotline.

District Court Administration: Each district is an independent, autonomous entity that includes all its district and magistrate judges and is headed by the chief district judge. The chief district judge position is filled on the basis of seniority and must be relinquished at age 70 or after seven years of service, whichever comes first.

The clerk of court is the chief administrative officer in the district and serves at the pleasure of the district judges. The clerk's office manages the court's personnel, financial, and space and facilities matters, and oversees all filing and docketing functions.

United States magistrate judges are appointed by the district judges and use the services of the district court clerk's office. Bankruptcy courts have their own chief judge and often maintain their own separate bankruptcy clerk's office as well.

In addition to the chief judge and district judges who meet periodically at court meetings to discuss the business of the courts, each district court usually creates several committees to address common concerns, such as attorney admissions, local rules, budget and finance, and magistrate judge selection.

Lawyer's Role: This brief overview illustrates that the work of the courts has extended far beyond the trial and appeal of cases. Of necessity, the courts have established a wide variety of mechanisms to assist them in their work. Lawyers and bar organizations are encouraged to play an important role in this process. Lawyer representatives are in a particularly favorable position to provide assistance to the courts because of their close involvement with the court system and the representative nature of their service to the courts.

Lawyer representatives play their most visible role in the business of the circuit through their active participation in the circuit judicial conference and the year-long activities leading up to the conference that each district undertakes.

Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference

Purpose and Attendance – Each circuit is permitted by 28 U.S.C. §333 to hold a conference of all its active judges annually or biennially “for the purpose of considering the business of the courts and advising means of improving the administration of justice within the circuit.” Pursuant to amendments in 1996, judicial attendance at the conference is discretionary. The statute also provides the basis for participation by lawyers as equals in the conference: “The court of appeals for each circuit shall provide by its rules for representation and active participation at such conference by members of the bar of such circuit.”

Executive Committee – In the Ninth Circuit, the annual judicial conference is planned and implemented by a committee of the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit—the 25-member Judicial Conference Executive Committee. All segments of the court and bar are represented on the executive committee, including four circuit judges, four district judges, one bankruptcy judge, one full-time magistrate judge and nine lawyer representatives. The nine lawyers who serve on the executive committee are:

- The current chair of the Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee (LRCC);
- The chair-elect and vice chair of the LRCC;
- Six lawyers elected by the lawyer representatives for staggered three-year terms who must be lawyer representatives at the time of their election, one of whom shall be a U.S. Attorney or Federal Public Defender; and
- The liaison for the Ninth Circuit Advisory Board.

<p>Judicial Conference Executive Committee Subcommittees</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program • Budget & Finance • Resolutions • Activities 	<p>The Conference Executive Committee has created four subcommittees to conduct its work: program, budget and finance, resolutions, and activities. The program committee involves all committee members, who volunteer to be on topic teams to develop specific conference programs. The full committee ordinarily meets three times in person between virtual Zoom conference calls. The staff from the Office of the Circuit Executive is directed to carry out its plans for the judicial conference.</p>
--	---

Conference Structure – Since it was completely reorganized in 1976, the conference has been structured in basically the same way each year. Traditionally, the conference has lasted three and one-half days, running Monday through Thursday or Tuesday through Friday, typically ending at 12 p.m. Committee policy seeks to hold the conference in the summer, preferably in July or early August, in a non-urban resort setting within the circuit, preferably at a single facility that can accommodate all attendees. At times, an overflow property is required, but the headquarters hotel is the site of all general sessions, business meetings and group functions. In 1996, the executive committee recommended holding an experimental short-format conference in an urban setting. The first such one-and-one-half day business conference was held in Portland, Oregon, in 1997. The next short format conference took place in San Diego, California, in 2015.

See the table below for a typical three-and-one-half days conference agenda. In addition to these activities, district dinners and a bench/bar breakfast or lunch are scheduled to combine business and social opportunity for the bench and bar to meet and interact.

Typical Conference Agenda	
DAY 1	<p>Separate business meetings of the constituent groups of the conference: circuit judges, district judges, bankruptcy judges, magistrate judges and lawyer representatives.</p> <p>Late afternoon opening session, including a “state of the circuit” address by the chief judge and various circuit award ceremonies.</p> <p>Welcoming reception for all conference participants.</p>
DAY 2	<p>General session presentations and bench bar programs that usually conclude by noon or early afternoon.</p>
DAY 3 & 4	<p>Structured similarly to Day 2.</p> <p>Individual district meetings, debate and voting on conference resolutions and an address or panel discussion with a U.S. Supreme Court justice.</p>
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES	<p>Monday and Wednesday night group activities are organized to encourage the bench and bar to renew acquaintances and socialize in a less structured setting. These activities are not funded by government appropriated funds. A judiciary benefits session is targeted to judicial spouses.</p>

The Lawyer Representative's Role Throughout the Year

Role of the Lawyer Representatives at the Conference – In addition to attending the general conference sessions and participating in the social activities, lawyer representatives have several specific responsibilities at the annual judicial conference:

- To attend the separate meeting of the lawyer representatives;
- To participate in the election of the vice chair of the Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee (LRCC) and at-large lawyer representatives to the executive committee;
- To attend their district meeting during the conference if one is scheduled;
- To participate in and vote during the resolutions process; and
- To serve as facilitators, reporters or discussion leaders as requested.

These responsibilities give lawyer representatives an opportunity to work together to assure that the conference learns the lawyers' position on various topics of concern to the federal courts and to the federal bar. Elections for lawyer representative positions on the executive committee, which have three-year terms, are important to assure a full voice for lawyers in planning the conference. The position of chair of the conference executive committee rotates among a circuit judge, a district judge, a bankruptcy or magistrate judge, and a lawyer representative, so the selection of members to the committee is an important function with long-term effects. Only those lawyer representatives with a strong interest in the year-long work of the conference, and a willingness to commit the time needed, should be selected to serve on the executive committee.

Whenever possible, each district holds a meeting at the conference to discuss agenda topics discussed by the chief judge, lawyer representative chair and the executive committee. More recently, this meeting has also provided an opportunity for districts to discuss the merits of various resolutions submitted to the conference. This is also a chance to begin planning the meetings and projects of the district for the coming year.

Last and most importantly, the single best piece of advice to lawyers attending the conference is: **Speak up and be heard!** This is your chance and your forum to constructively contribute to the administration of justice on a circuit-wide basis. Do not hesitate to suggest or make recommendations for the improvement of the courts.

One of the changes brought about by the 1976 reform of the judicial conference was to make it a year-long process, a continuing entity, rather than a one-time event. This is consistent with the overall purpose of the conference to provide a means of improving the administration of justice in the Ninth Circuit. Thus, the role of the lawyer

representative does not end with the conclusion of the conference but rather continues on the local level throughout the year, culminating in the succeeding conference.

Number and Selection Process – Before the conference was reorganized, “lawyer delegates” as they were then called, were handpicked by individual circuit and district judges. Before 1976, the number of lawyers was far higher than today, with a ratio of lawyer delegates to judicial officers close to 3:1, and the role of the lawyers was predominantly as social guests. After 1976, the reforms sought to emphasize the “lawyer representative” concept and established a mechanism requiring input from lawyers and judges with specific criteria for the selection of lawyers who would be invited to attend the conference. From a ratio of one per active district judgeship (in 1998=99), plus the U.S. attorney and federal public defender from each district, the number of lawyer representatives invited to attend has been increased to 1.28 lawyer representatives per district. The Ninth Circuit has 112 authorized district judgeships which includes three temporary judgeships in 2021, and 159 lawyers were either elected or appointed to serve as lawyer representatives in one of the 15 judicial districts that comprise the circuit.

The Order of the Judicial Council spells out five criteria necessary for a lawyer to qualify for selection as a lawyer representative:

1. Admitted to practice in the district court and actively involved in federal practice.
2. Interested in the purposes and work of the conference.
3. Willing and able to contribute actively to the purposes and work of the conference.
4. Willing to assist in implementing conference programs with the local bar.
5. Together with others selected, will constitute a fair cross-section of practitioners before the federal courts of the district. The selection committee should consider the gender, ethnic, racial, and religious diversity and the diverse geographic and practice backgrounds of those who practice before the federal courts. (Order, 2.c(1)-(5))

The 1976 *Wallace Report* that recommended conference reform also insisted that “Representatives attending the circuit conference should reflect as much as possible a spectrum of the Ninth Circuit....An effort should be made to secure an appropriate race, sex, and age representation within the lawyer representative contingent...” (75 F.R.D. 553, 560).

Each district must complete the selection of new lawyer representatives to fill vacancies within 90 days after the beginning of the conference year (the last day of each

conference marks the beginning of the following conference year), pursuant to one of two possible procedures:

1. The bar in the district shall nominate to a committee of judges within the district a number of proposed lawyer representatives, approximately three times larger than the number to be selected, and the committee of judges shall select the lawyer representatives for that district from the names so submitted.
2. A committee of judges within the district shall nominate to the bar within the district a number of lawyer representatives approximately three times larger than the number to be selected, and the bar shall select the lawyer representatives for that district from among the names so submitted. (Order, 2.b(1))

Any alternative procedure may also be used with the prior approval of the executive committee. Whatever the procedure, some districts rely heavily on federal court committees or a chapter of the Federal Bar Association for assistance in the nomination process; others place an advertisement in the local legal paper and solicit resumes of interested candidates. A concerted effort at education and outreach is essential to attract a wide array of qualified individuals who are truly representative of the federal bar in each district.

After the selection process is completed, the new lawyer representatives serve a three-year term. At the conclusion of their first year, lawyer representatives are eligible for election as chair of their delegation. Under policies adopted in 1995, districts are asked to elect a chair who will serve for a two-year term to assure continuity on the Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee. Any lawyer representative is eligible for election to a three-year term on the executive committee of the conference. Lawyer representatives who have completed their terms continue as ex-officio members of the district's delegation for two additional years (or longer if they are elected to the conference executive committee) to provide continuity and a broader base for the district delegation's work. Ex-officio members have a duty to continue to participate in all home district meetings and activities but may only attend the annual judicial conference as an alternate for a lawyer representative who is unable to attend.

Representation & Responsibilities – In addition to their responsibilities at the annual judicial conference, lawyer representatives have a continuing role the rest of the year, particularly the responsibility to carry the work of the conference back to the bar. Lawyer representatives do not attend the conference in their individual capacities—they attend with the duty to teach, discuss, and implement those positions of the conference that are appropriate to the improvement of justice in their district. Similarly, an intended

statutory role for the lawyer participants in the conference is to offer constructive criticism of the way courts are functioning, and to inform the judges of any deficiencies in the operation of the courts in the circuit.

See the table below for a list of the responsibilities of a lawyer representative.

Duties of A Lawyer Representative
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attend and participate in lawyer representative meetings in the district (Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit order requires two or more such meetings each year).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attend and participate in all joint meetings of lawyers and judges in the district (two or more required each year), including any district conferences.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attend and participate in the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vote in lawyer representative elections and in balloting for judicial conference resolutions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participate actively in the conference resolution process—proposing, drafting and debating resolutions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educate members of the bar generally about the conference and solicit their reviews.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speak up at district meetings and at the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference to assure that the views of lawyers are heard.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to attend and participate in all district meetings for two years after the expiration of their term as a lawyer representative.

Chair of the Delegation – Each district delegation of lawyer representatives is headed by a chair. Under recent changes approved by the Judicial Council, each district will elect a chair for its delegation from the group of lawyer representatives who are completing their first year of service. The chair will serve for two years. The lawyers in a delegation elect the new chair usually just prior to or, at the judicial conference each year. Some districts have elected co-chairs to reduce the demands on each individual. See table on the following page for a list of the duties and responsibilities of the chair of a district delegation.

Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee – The Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee (LRCC) is composed of those who chair and co-chair the 15 lawyer representative delegations from each district in the circuit. A 1991 amendment to the Order of the Judicial Council created a rotating three-member leadership structure for the LRCC. The LRCC is headed by a chair, a chair elect and a vice chair — the latter two positions rotate into the chair position with each successive conference.

At each annual circuit judicial conference, the lawyer representatives elect a new vice chair. To be eligible for election as the vice chair, a lawyer representative must be the chair of a district delegation at the time of the election. The vice chair serves for a year until the next conference and then becomes chair elect. After another year of service, the chair elect becomes the chair of the LRCC. All three members of the LRCC leadership structure serve on the conference executive committee and attend all of its meetings. In 1996, the three members of the LRCC leadership structure were also constituted as a liaison committee to the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit.

The LRCC coordinates the activities of the lawyer representatives, acts as a liaison between the executive committee and the lawyer representatives, and acts as a liaison between the court of appeals and the lawyer representatives. The LRCC elects individuals to fill vacancies in the position of LRCC chair elect and vacancies in the lawyer members of the executive committee. Over the past several years, the LRCC has met separately at the conference, with the chief district judges during their late winter semi-annual meeting, and with the judges of the court of appeals. These meetings, and regular mail correspondence and telephone conference calls, give the chairs an opportunity to share ideas for projects and comment on emerging issues with other delegations.

Examples of Accomplishments – In the past, lawyer representatives have made a significant impact on the improvement of the administration of justice in their district and in the circuit in a variety of ways. Lawyer-sponsored initiatives resulted in the development of the Public Information and Community Outreach (PICO) Committee to bring greater public awareness on the role of the courts. There are few limits to the means by which this may be done—creative approaches and imaginative ideas are encouraged.

District Conferences – Taking the improvement of communications between the bench and the bar as the key to the role of the lawyer representative, all districts have organized and conducted district conferences, modeled loosely after the annual circuit conference. These conferences—often held over a weekend in a retreat setting—include all of the judicial officers in a district and a broad cross-section of practitioners invited through a variety of means. District conferences are able to reach a far broader group of lawyers, educate them about the conference and its purposes, and obtain the benefit of their experience and ideas. These conferences also allow more time to focus on specific district concerns, and to air district grievances so remedial action can occur.

Duties of the District Delegation Chairperson
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attend the Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference and participate actively.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plan and chair local lawyer representatives meetings and district conferences (Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit order requires two or more such meetings each year).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with the chief judge to plan joint meetings of the lawyers and judges of the local delegation (two or more required each year).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nominate to the local bar new lawyer representatives to be selected by the judges; or assist the local bar as requested.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inform the circuit executive when new lawyer representatives are chosen and when local delegation meeting dates and district conference dates are set.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Find ways to improve the dialogue between lawyers and judges locally and to make substantive improvements in the judicial system.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Educate members of the bar generally about the activities of the conference and solicit their opinions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respond on request to the chair of Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee as issues arise: suggest ideas for the LRCC chair or chair-elect to take to the executive committee.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participate in all LRCC telephone conference calls and all LRCC in-person meetings (with the judges of the court of appeals, chief district judges, chief bankruptcy judges and other meetings as required) or arrange for a substitute if unable to attend.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop resolutions for the conference.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare the annual district report on bench/bar activities, with the chief judge, for submission to the Circuit Executive's Office at least 30 days before the beginning of the conference.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organize the district delegation dinner for the conference with the chief judge.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure the attendance of a full complement of lawyer representatives at the next conference.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommend, propose nominations, and advise as requested on appointment to circuit committees.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordinate the election of the next chair in the district.

Resolutions – The judicial conference considers, debates, and votes on written resolutions submitted in advance by conference members. The resolutions process is one of the most tangible and lasting ways the conference makes a contribution to the improvement of the administration of justice within the circuit. The judicial council order provides that any conference member, or group of members, or district delegation, may submit a resolution to the circuit executive no less than 45 days prior to the opening

session of the conference. Resolutions must relate to the business of the courts and the improvement of the administration of justice. (Order 4d.3.)

Resolutions have been submitted on a wide range of topics, from voir dire in criminal cases to depository status for the Pasadena library, from guidelines for payment in CJA cases to opposing the passage of mandatory minimum sentencing laws. At the conference, judges and lawyers vote separately on each resolution. A resolution passes if both a majority of judges and lawyers voting approve it. Successful resolutions are then referred to the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit for appropriate implementation.

The conference chair, that is, the chair of the conference executive committee, reports each year at the conference on the status of the prior year's resolutions. This policy—part of an overall effort to maintain continuity between conferences, and to assure follow up on conference resolutions—was itself instituted as a result of a resolution passed at the 1986 conference. Another 1986 resolution called for each district to consider implementing an early settlement technique for expediting civil litigation, and to report on the results at the succeeding year's conference. For the 1987 conference, most districts reported on their experiences, and several had begun to implement new dispute resolution programs with the cooperation of their bars. In 1988, the conference passed a resolution recommending an in-depth study of how to use United States magistrate judges most effectively. The lawyers put forth a resolution at the 1990 circuit conference that resulted in the establishment of the first federal task force to study gender bias in the courts of the Ninth Circuit. Similarly, a 1993 conference resolution resulted in the creation of a task force to study race, religious and ethnic bias in the courts.

Lawyer representatives are encouraged to become actively involved in the resolutions process and to begin planning and drafting resolutions early in the conference year. Often delegations or groups of conference members can solicit cross-support for resolutions during the year so that a broad coalition of individuals actually sponsors and introduces the resolution at the conference.

Interview Projects – A number of district delegations, including the Eastern District and the Northern District of California, have interviewed their district judges and magistrate judges concerning their preferred pretrial and in-court procedures and practices. The lawyer representatives from the district organized the interview effort, compiled the results, published them, and made them available for purchase by members of the bar. Succeeding delegations have undertaken to update these manuals and add bankruptcy judges to their scope. Practitioners have expressed many positive reactions to the manuals and find them useful practice aids.


Other Projects – The range of projects that a district delegation, or the Lawyer Representatives Coordinating Committee, can become involved in is as broad as the creativity and imaginations of the members of those bodies. One delegation worked to fund and establish a lawyers’ lounge in its courthouse. Another has become active in setting up a confidential judicial evaluation process so the district judges obtain valuable feedback and suggestions for how to run their courts more efficiently. Quite a few lawyer delegations have worked with their district courts to develop and institute some form of alternative dispute resolution program, such as easily neutral evaluation, to expedite the handling of particular kinds of cases. At various times, lawyer representatives have spoken to the press or clarified legal questions involving the circuit when it was inappropriate for judges to speak on their own behalf. The possibilities and opportunities are endless and active participation is encouraged.

The role of the lawyer representative is an important one, both at the district and the circuit levels, and the judges of the court value and appreciate their many contributions. This handbook has been developed with the hope that it will enable new lawyer representatives to “get up to speed” as quickly as possible to assure full involvement in the process.

If you have suggestions for additions or changes for future printings of this book, please contact Renée S. Lorda, Assistant Circuit Executive for Public Information, Conference and Education, at (415) 355-8920 or rlorda@ce9.uscourts.gov.

Organization of Ninth Circuit Committees

Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit
Chief Circuit Judge Mary H. Murguia



(revised 08/15/2022)


APPENDIX B

Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Committees

- ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT JUDGES
- ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PRACTICE & PROCEDURE
- APPELLATE LAWYER REPRESENTATIVES
- ATTORNEY ADMISSION FUND ADVISORY
- BANKRUPTCY APPELLATE PANEL
- COURT EXECUTIVE
- COURT SECURITY
- NAKAMURA COURTHOUSE FACILITY SECURITY
- NINTH CIRCUIT LIBRARY
- PASADENA COURTHOUSE FACILITY SECURITY
- PIONEER COURTHOUSE FACILITY SECURITY
- SAN FRANCISCO COURTHOUSE FACILITY SECURITY

APPENDIX C

Judicial Conference Executive Committee Structure





Office of the Circuit Executive

P.O. Box 193939

San Francisco, CA 94119-3939 Tel.

(415) 355-8800 / Fax (415) 355-8901

<https://www.ca9.uscourts.gov>